

REMARKS

Claims 1-12, 15-27, 30-41, and 43-46 were pending. In an Office Action dated November 10, 2011, claims 1-12, 15-27, 30-41, and 43-46 were rejected. Applicants have amended claims 1, 15, 17-27, 30-32, and 43-44 in this amendment. Claims 1-12, 15-27, 30-41, and 43-46 are pending upon entry of this amendment.

Interview Summary

Applicants' representative conducted a telephone interview with Examiner Scott M. Sciacca on March 20, 2012. Applicants thank the Examiner for the interview. During the telephone interview, proposed amendments were discussed in view of the cited prior art. Examiner agreed that the proposed amendments overcome the current grounds of rejection. The amendments proposed to the Examiner and discussed during the interview are incorporated in this Response.

Response to Objections to Claims

Claims 18-27, 30-32 and 43 were objected to for reciting a "computer readable medium" while depending on claim 17 which recites a "non-transitory computer readable storage medium" in the preamble. Claims 18-27, 30-32 and 43 have been amended to recite a "non-transitory computer readable storage medium." The Examiner is requested to withdraw the objections.

Response to Rejection Under 35 USC 103(a)

Claims 1, 2, 4-15, 17, 18, 20-30, 32-41 and 43-44 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasink et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2005/0149932) in view of Culbert et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,838,968). Claims 13, 19, 45 and 46 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasink in view of Culbert and in further view of Keeton et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,734,867). Claims 16 and 31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hasink in view of Culbert and further in view of Anderson, II et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,909,544).

Applicants have incorporated the amendments to the claims discussed on March 20, 2012 with the Examiner. Support for the amendments to the claims is found throughout the specification, for example, in paragraph [0008] of the specification as filed. As discussed during the interview, these amendments overcome the current rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Conclusion

For the above reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that the pending claims, as amended, are not taught by the art of record, and request allowance of the application. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone to advance the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: April 4, 2012

By: /Rajendra B Panwar/

Rajendra B Panwar, Reg. No. 63,165

Patent Agent

Fenwick & West LLP

801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

Tel.: (650) 335-7107

Fax: (415) 938-5200